

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	EETH	В	MI22-356	
00/030,001 07/20/70 0				
	B5M1/0319	EXA	EXAMINER	
WELLS, ST. JOHN ROBERTS.		KELLEY.1	N	
% MATKIN P.S.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUIT SPOKANE WA 99204-0317	E 1300	2503	10	
		DATE MAILED:	03/19/97	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	of your application.			
		. /a		
	sponsive to communication filed on_	$\sqrt{22/97}$ [s), days from the second contribution of the second contributi	This action is made final.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action Failure to respond within the period for response will c	ause the application to become aban		the date of this letter.	
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE P	ART OF THIS ACTION:			
Notice of References Cited by Examiner, P Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 Information on How to Effect Drawing Char	4. D	Notice of Draftsman's Paten Notice of Informal Patent Ap	at Drawing Review, PTO-948. optication, PTO-152.	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION				
1. Claims / - 2.7		a	re pending in the application	
Of the above, claims 1-5, (1	-17, 20-21, 24	are with	thdrawn from consideration.	
2. Claims		h	ave been cancelled.	
3. Claims		a		
4. Claims 6-10 18-19				
5. Claims		6	are objected to.	
	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
7. This application has been filed with informal d	rawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which	are acceptable for examina	tion purposes.	
8. Formal drawings are required in response to t	his Office action.			
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see ex	en received on planation or Notice of Draftsman's Pa	Under 37 C.F. atent Drawing Review, PTO	R. 1.84 these drawings (-948).	
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s examiner; disapproved by the examiner (s		has (have) been 🛘 🗖	approved by the	
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed				
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for price been filed in parent application, serial no.	ority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certi	fied copy has Deen rece	eived not been received	
13. Since this application apppears to be in condit accordance with the practice under Ex parte C		latters, prosecution as to th	e merits is closed in	
14. Other				

EXAMINER'S ACTION

-2-

Serial Number: 08/530,661

Art Unit: 2503

Claims 1-27 are pending in this application.

Applicant's election of claims 6-10, 18-19, 22-23 and 25-26 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 6-10, 18-19, 22-23, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The improved structure is claimed with respect to overall memory size and device density. The disclosure is in terms of various improvements which make possible the production of applicant's claimed structure. Use of one or more of these improvements is thus critical or essential to the practice of the invention. Since these improvements are not included in the claim(s), the claimed structure is not enabled by the disclosure. In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Specifically, applicant discloses techniques to reduce the size of bird's beaks, to increase capacitance, to improve mask alignment, to eliminate field oxide regions, etc. Applicant discloses that the use of one or more of these techniques is necessary to achieve the claimed device density. Since it is the use of these very techniques which results in applicant's ability

Serial Number: 08/530,661 -3-

Art Unit: 2503

to produce the claimed structure, applicant's claims must include the structural features of the improved techniques. Such features might be the size of the bird's beak, the capacitance of cells, the irregular surface of the capacitor electrodes, etc. Since the presence of these features is essential to existence of applicant's structure, these features (or others) must be claimed in order for the claimed structure to be enabled.

Claims 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7, 9, and 10 each recite "composite conductive line layers." The phrase "composite" is vague and indefinite because it is not clear exactly what structure this phrase is meant to embrace.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

Serial Number: 08/530,661

Art Unit: 2503

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-10, 18-19, 22-23, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's discussion of the prior art in view of Denboer ("Inside Today's Leading Edge Microprocessors," Semiconductor International, 2/1994).

In applicant's "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION," applicant discloses that 16M DRAM chips exist in the prior art (page 2, line 15), that DRAM chips are normally made with cells arranged in multiple repeating memory arrays, and that "[m]aximizing density of single transistor and other memory cells is a continuing goal in semiconductor memory fabrication." (page 2, lines 7-8) Applicant's claims are written in terms of total combined area of the memory cells or total combined area of the peripheral and pitch circuitry as well as the memory cells. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to make 16M DRAM chips with ever increasing device density because, as applicant admits, 16M DRAM chips existed in the prior art at the time of invention and because maximizing density of single transistors and other memory cells is a continuing goal in the art.

Applicant's disclosure of the prior art fails to discuss a package having an encapsulating body and electrically conductive interconnect pins extending outwardly from the body, the specific number of cells per array, and the particular number of

Serial Number: 08/530,661 -5-

Art Unit: 2503

conductive layers used in the prior art. With respect to the claimed package, such packages are well known to those skilled in the art. With respect to the specific number of cells per array, this number is a function of overall device design and the use of any particular number of cells per array would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention depending upon specific device architecture. With respect to the number of conductive lines used, one skilled in the art would have known at the time the invention was made that semiconductor devices may be fabricated with fewer than, or more than, four conductive layers, as shown by Denboer (figures 1 and 6), depending on particular device design. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to encapsulate the claimed memory device in a package as recited, to form the arrays with the particular number of cells as claimed, and to include less than four, or more than four, conductive line layers because all these variations are known to those skilled in the art and would have resulted from routine engineering design, optimization, and implementation considerations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Kelley whose telephone number is (703) 305-3789.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

-6-

Serial Number: 08/530,661

Art Unit: 2503

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

N. Kelley March 14, 1997

> JÉROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2500